

Docket #15-4433 in  
 conjunction with Docket #15-1345  
 and Docket #15-1376 and Docket #  
 15-02465 and Docket #13-4417  
 and Docket #15-4433 and  
 Docket #13-66, 13-4134, 13-4963,  
 13-4573, 13-4978, 13-4829  
 13-885, 13-02437, 13-02787 and  
 Docket #01-4414 in Reference  
 matter between Rethine,  
 Court 15, District of Court  
 District of Columbia, et al.,  
 et al., et al.

July 8, 2015

In Reference Matthew Trickett Senior  
Police

[illegible]

the state of New Jersey  
in the case here in cited on  
page one here in cited on  
this Federal Court to accept  
matters for review to accept  
for not exhausting remedies  
before state court. Remedy for  
review for Federal Court  
Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
2861, 2862, 2863, 2864, 2865  
A Petition for writ of Habeas  
Corpus and Petition for writ of  
Prohibition and Petition for  
writ of mandamus and motions  
and complaints and motions  
arguments and legal  
in the case here in cited on  
this matter dated July 8, 2015,  
where Matthew Tucker  
Court Senior here in motions  
to accept the July 8, 2015 motion  
here in attached to the  
Robert and Volodymyr  
Tucker and Volodymyr  
Relief for the claims for  
accept complete review for  
Review complete review for  
Senior Petition Tucker  
28 U.S.C. 2861, 2862, 2863, 2864, 2865  
800 (1111) 1256 1257 U.S.

Motion for this Federal Court to  
 Review and consider the  
 Applications Submission  
 submitted for Filing in the Court  
 herein cited on page 11 one for  
 this Court to get a Federal  
 General idea of the magnitude  
 of the esgregation and magnitude  
 and discrimination and wanton  
 and unconstitutional and illegal  
 applications that have been  
 defendants have responded  
 to civil and criminal federal  
 of states where appropriate to  
 hold my response to  
 Defendants civil and  
 criminal liability and also  
 as sought to be made accountable  
 senior Matthew Tucker  
 through July 8, 2015 and January  
 counting from 2015 and 1998  
 our jurisdiction for Federal  
 Glanville matter to be  
 pursuant to Matthew Tucker  
 senior Federal how claims  
 raised for Relief in state and  
 Federal jurisdiction between  
 January 1998 through  
 July 8, 2015 and counting  
 28 U.S.C. statute 1331 and  
 Pearson v. Callahan, 129 S.Ct.  
 808 (2009). Therefore

Rel. is sought by Matthew Tucker  
 5 and in the answer herein after  
 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. statute 2254(b)(1)  
 should be granted against the  
 state of Illinois  
 Youngberg as inmate, #15715, 307  
 (1982) and Deshaney v. Winnebago  
 Co. Dep. of Social Services, 489 U.S.  
 182 (1989). Where the state of  
 Illinois and the public  
 detained here in duty  
 obligation pursuant to and  
 know as Federal Constitution  
 have protected and shielded to  
 Matthew Tucker from  
 imminent harm (danger)  
 in violation of Matthew  
 Tucker's Federal Constitution  
 and Federal Constitution  
 and Bill of Rights and also  
 of state and Federal  
 between September 1982  
 through July 2015 and  
 continuing. 28 U.S.C. statute 2254

[illegible]

Respectfully,  
Mr. Matthew Tucker, Senior  
Mr. Matthew Tucker, Senior

(b)



State of N. Jersey  
Matthew Winter Senior

July 7<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Office

[illegible]

[illegible]

(3)









[illegible]



[illegible]

[illegible]

Respectfully,  
~~Mr. Matthew Tucker Senior~~  
 Mr. Matthew Tucker Senior